

A Versatile Approach for Solving PnP, PnPf, and PnPfr Problems –Appendix–

Gaku Nakano

Central Research Labs, NEC Corporation, Japan
g-nakano@cq.jp.nec.com

A MATLAB code for the automatic generator

```
% register the generator
setpaths;

% coefficient matrix (6x6 symmetric)
M = gbs_Matrix('M%d%d', 6, 6);
M = M - tril(M) + triu(M).';

% unknowns (1st and 2nd row of R)
syms a b c d e
r1 = [a; b; c];
r2 = [d; e; 1]; %linear constraint, R(3,3)=1

% build polynomial equations
A = M(1:3,1:3)*r1 + M(1:3,4:6)*r2;
B = M(1:3,4:6).'*r1 + M(4:6,4:6)*r2;
S1 = [0 -c b; c 0 -a; -b a 0]; % [r1]_x
S2 = [0 -1 e; 1 0 -d; -e d 0]; % [r2]_x
eq1 = S1*A + S2*B; % Eq. (23)
eq2 = r2.*A - r1.*B; % Eq. (26)
ceq1 = r1.*r1 - r2.*r2; % Eq. (19)
ceq2 = r1.*r2; % Eq. (20)
eqs = [ceq1, ceq2, eq1(:).', eq2];

% collect known & unknown variables
unknown = {'a','b', 'c', 'd','e'};
vars = symvar(M);
known = {};
for var = vars, known = [known {char(var)}]; end

% call gbsolver
[res, export] = gbs_CreateCode(mfilename, eqs, known, unknown);
```

B Solving the second subproblem for PnP and PnPf problems

In PnPf problem, because of $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$, we do not need to compute the third, fourth, and fifth columns of \mathbf{L} in Eq. (29). Thus, \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{x} are represented as

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_1 z_1^c \\ -u_1 & -u_1 z_1^c \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ v_n & v_n z_1^c \\ -u_n & -u_n z_1^c \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} f^{-1} t_z \\ f^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{B-1})$$

The vector \mathbf{g} is the same as described in Eq. (29).

In PnP problem, f^{-1} is known and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$. From this, we obtain

$$\mathbf{L} = f^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ -u_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \\ -u_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = t_z, \quad \mathbf{g} = f^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 z_1^c \\ -u_1 z_1^c \\ \vdots \\ v_n z_1^c \\ -u_n z_1^c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -y_1^c \\ x_1^c \\ \vdots \\ -y_n^c \\ x_n^c \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{B-2})$$

C Detail formulations of KKT condition for root polishing

Let \mathbf{I}_m be an $m \times m$ identity matrix and \otimes be an operator representing the kronecker product. In PnPf problem, we can write $\mathbf{C}_{(f,\mathbf{k})}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{(f,\mathbf{k})}$ as

$$\mathbf{C}_{(f,\mathbf{k})} = \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{W} + \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{Y}, \quad (\text{C-1})$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{(f,\mathbf{k})} = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{Z}, \quad (\text{C-2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{A}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} & -\mathbf{p}_1^\top & v_1 \mathbf{p}_1^\top \\ \mathbf{0}_{n \times 3} & \vdots & \vdots \\ & -\mathbf{p}_n^\top & v_n \mathbf{p}_n^\top \\ \mathbf{p}_1^\top & & -u_1 \mathbf{p}_1^\top \\ \vdots & \mathbf{0}_{n \times 3} & \vdots \\ \mathbf{p}_n^\top & & -u_n \mathbf{p}_n^\top \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} & -(\mathbf{p}_1 \otimes \mathbf{d}_1)^\top \\ & \mathbf{0}_{n \times 9} & \vdots \\ & & -(\mathbf{p}_n \otimes \mathbf{d}_n)^\top \\ (\mathbf{p}_1 \otimes \mathbf{d}_1)^\top & & \\ \vdots & & \mathbf{0}_{n \times 9} \\ (\mathbf{p}_n \otimes \mathbf{d}_n)^\top & & \end{bmatrix}, \\
 \mathbf{B}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} & -1 & v_1 \\ \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1} & \vdots & \vdots \\ & -1 & v_n \\ 1 & & u_1 \\ \vdots & \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1} & \vdots \\ 1 & & u_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} & -\mathbf{d}_1^\top \\ \mathbf{0}_{n \times 3} & \vdots \\ & -\mathbf{d}_n^\top \\ \mathbf{d}_1^\top & \\ \vdots & \mathbf{0}_{n \times 3} \\ \mathbf{d}_n^\top & \end{bmatrix}, \\
 \mathbf{W} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_6 & \\ & f^{-1} \mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{I}_6 \otimes \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{0}_{18 \times 3}], \quad \mathbf{Z} = [\mathbf{I}_2 \otimes \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{0}_{6 \times 1}].
 \end{aligned} \tag{C-3}$$

In PnPf problem, \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} are zero matrices due to $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\mathbf{C}_{(f)} = \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{W}, \tag{C-4}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{(f)} = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{K}. \tag{C-5}$$

Substituting Eqs. (C-4) and (C-5) into Eqs. (30) and (31), respectively, we obtain

$$\min_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}, f} \|\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{W} \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{K} \mathbf{t}\|^2 \tag{C-6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{t}_{(\mathbf{r}, f)} &= -(\mathbf{K}^\top \mathbf{B}_1^\top \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{K})^{-1} \mathbf{K}^\top \mathbf{B}_1^\top \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{W} \mathbf{r} \\
 &= -\mathbf{K}^{-1} (\mathbf{B}_1^\top \mathbf{B}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{B}_1^\top \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{W} \mathbf{r}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{C-7}$$

Then, a new constrained problem for PnPf problem can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{\mathbf{r}, f} \quad & \mathbf{r}^\top \mathbf{W} \bar{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{r} \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}, \quad \det(\mathbf{R}) = 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{C-8}$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbf{A}_1^\top \mathbf{A}_1 - \mathbf{A}_1^\top \mathbf{B}_1 (\mathbf{B}_1^\top \mathbf{B}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{B}_1^\top \mathbf{A}_1. \tag{C-9}$$

We can write the KKT condition for PnP problem without Lagrange multipliers by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}^\top \text{mat}(\bar{\mathbf{W}}\bar{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{r}) - \text{mat}(\bar{\mathbf{W}}\bar{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{r})^\top \mathbf{R} &= \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3}, \\
\text{mat}(\bar{\mathbf{W}}\bar{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{r})\mathbf{R}^\top - \mathbf{R} \text{mat}(\bar{\mathbf{W}}\bar{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{r})^\top &= \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3}, \\
\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I} &= \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3}, \\
\det(\mathbf{R}) - 1 &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial f} \mathbf{r}^\top \bar{\mathbf{W}}\bar{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{r} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C-10}$$

In PnP problem, since f is known, we can calculate $\check{\mathbf{G}} = \bar{\mathbf{W}}\bar{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{W}$ and represent a new constrained problem as

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_{\mathbf{r}} \quad & \mathbf{r}^\top \check{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{r} \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}, \quad \det(\mathbf{R}) = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{C-11}$$

Then, the KKT condition for PnP problem can be written by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}^\top \text{mat}(\check{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{r}) - \text{mat}(\check{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{r})^\top \mathbf{R} &= \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3}, \\
\text{mat}(\check{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{r})\mathbf{R}^\top - \mathbf{R} \text{mat}(\check{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{r})^\top &= \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3}, \\
\mathbf{R}^\top \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I} &= \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3}, \\
\det(\mathbf{R}) - 1 &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{C-12}$$

Obviously $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\check{\mathbf{G}}$ do not contain any unknowns. Therefore we do not need to update $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\check{\mathbf{G}}$ in the Gauss-Newton iteration for PnP and PnPf problems.